

## CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF KAWARTHA LAKES

### 2005 MUNICIPAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM (MPMP) REPORT

(With comparative information for the Year 2004)

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Local Government</b>			
1. Operating costs for general governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs (Based on Government political support and corporate management and support – MPMP item 0203)	8.0%	6.5%	This <b>efficiency</b> measure was calculated by dividing the Operating costs for Governance and Corporate Management by the total Municipal Operating costs as reported to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing in the 2005 Financial Information Return (FIR).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs for Gen. Governance</li> <li>Total Mun. Operating Cost</li> </ul>	\$5,752,826 \$71,904,733	\$6,380,967 \$98,622,560	The Ministry changed the Operating cost (numerator) and Total Municipal Operating Cost (denominator) in 2005 to include External Transfers, Interfunctional Adjustments and Allocation of Program Support contributing, in part, to the rate decrease from 8.0% to 6.5%
<b>Fire Services</b>			
2. Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment	\$0.77	\$0.77	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is a ratio of the Fire Operating costs to the total property assessment denominator divided by \$1,000. The ratio remained consistent from the previous year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fire Operating Costs</li> <li>Total Property Assessment/1000</li> </ul>	\$5,038,855 \$6,521,702	\$5,072,966 \$6,605,419	

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Police Services</b>			
3. Operating costs for police services per person (by combined and geographical policing jurisdictions)  Combined Policing for the City of Kawartha Lakes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total Population</li> <li>• Combined Police Operating Cost per person</li> </ul>	\$8,451,814  69,180  \$122.17	\$8,942,268  69,180  \$129.26	This <b>efficiency</b> measure changed in 2005 as the denominator is now total population (2001 Statistics Canada Census) rather than total households. The MPMP measurement reported to the Ministry has also been expanded to show the separated ratios for each of the two Police Services operating in the City of Kawartha Lakes, as requested by Council.
3. (a) City of Kawartha Lakes Police Service-Lindsay/Ops: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total Population</li> <li>• CKL Police Operating Cost per person</li> </ul>	\$4,966,082 19,148 \$259.35	\$4,956,763 19,148 \$258.87	The Total Population for each Police jurisdiction was pro-rated based on the number of households. All expenditures for Police Services are area rated to the residents receiving the service.
3. (b) Ontario Provincial Police (OPP): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total Population</li> <li>• OPP Operating Cost per person</li> </ul>	\$3,485,732 50,032 \$69.67	\$3,985,505 50,032 \$79.66	The total Operating Costs reported for each year for each policing unit were sourced from the 2005 Financial Information Return (FIR) Schedule 40 as submitted to the Ministry.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Police Services</b>			
4. Total crime rate as defined by Statistics Canada (Criminal Code offences, excluding traffic) per 1,000 population  Combined Total Crime Rate for the City of Kawartha Lakes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reported Total Number of Crimes</li> <li>• Total Population pro-rated on the Number of Households/1,000</li> <li>• Total Crime Rate per 1,000 population</li> </ul>	6,056  69.180  87.54	5,639  69.180  81.51	The crime rate <b>effectiveness</b> measure was calculated by dividing the number of crimes reported by each policing unit by the most current total population denominator of 69,180 (Statistics Canada 2001 Census), pro-rated on the base of households for both 2004 and 2005, and divided by 1,000.
4. (a) City of Kawartha Lakes Police Service-Lindsay/Ops: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reported Total Number of Crimes</li> <li>• Total Population pro-rated on the Number of Households/1,000</li> <li>• Total Crime Rate per 1,000 population</li> </ul>	4,401  19.148  229.84	3,647  19.148  190.46	
4. (b) Ontario Provincial Police (OPP): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reported Total Number of Crimes</li> <li>• Total Population pro-rated on the Number of Households/1,000</li> <li>• Total Crime Rate per 1,000 population</li> </ul>	1,655  50.032  33.07	1,992  50.032  39.81	

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Road Services</b>			
<b>5. Paved Roads:</b> Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total Paved Lane km</li> </ul>	\$717.92  \$2,212,633 3,082	\$675.63  \$2,082,280 3,082	This <b>efficiency</b> measure for 2005 was calculated by dividing the paved road operating costs by the kilometers of paved lanes as determined by the TSH Road Needs Study dated December 2004.
<b>6. Unpaved Roads:</b> Operating costs for unpaved roads (loose top) per lane kilometre <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total Unpaved Lane kilometer</li> </ul>	\$780.10  \$1,658,501 2,126	\$650.44  \$1,382,834 2,126	This <b>efficiency</b> measure for 2005 was calculated by dividing the unpaved roads operating costs by the kilometers of unpaved lanes as determined by the TSH Road Needs Study dated December 2004.
<b>7. Winter Control:</b> Operating costs for winter control maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total Lane kilometers maintained in Winter</li> </ul>	\$976.49  \$5,199,801 5,325	\$1,087.28  \$5,789,779 5,325	This <b>efficiency</b> measure was calculated by dividing the winter control operating costs by the total roadway lane kilometers maintained in winter as sourced from the TSH Road Needs Study (Dec-2004) and Public Works. The Total Lane kilometers maintained in years 2004/5 is the sum of the Paved and Unpaved Roads plus 117 extra Winter Control lane kilometers.
<b>8. Adequacy of Roads:</b> Percentage of paved lane kilometers where the condition is rated as good to very good	54.8%	54.8%	As an <b>effectiveness</b> measure, in 2005, it is estimated that 1,689 paved lane kilometers (from a total inventory of 3,082 paved lane kilometers) are considered good or better by Engineering/Public Works.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Road Services</b>			
<b>9. Winter Control Effectiveness</b> Percentage of winter event responses that met or exceeded locally determined municipal road maintenance standards <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Estimated number of winter response days</li> </ul>	100.00%  128	100.00%  94	Public Works records indicate there were 94 days in 2005 that required a winter response in some form and the locally determined municipal road maintenance standards were met or exceeded on all of those days.
<b>Transit Services</b>			
<b>10. Conventional Transit</b> Operating costs for conventional transit per number of regular service passenger trips <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Operating costs</li> <li>Total Regular Service Passenger trips</li> </ul>	\$8.09  \$533,624  65,958	\$10.77  \$696,724  64,675	This <b>efficiency</b> measure represents the total conventional transit operating costs divided by the total recorded number of regular service passenger trips in a year in the (Lindsay) service area.
<b>11. Conventional Transit Ridership</b> Total number of conventional transit passenger trips in the service area (Lindsay) per the population of service area <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Regular Service Passenger trips</li> <li>Population of Service Area</li> </ul>	3.90  65,958  16,930	3.82  64,675  16,930	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure represents the number of regular service passenger trips in a year divided by a population of 16,930 in the service area per the Statistics Canada 2001 Census Note: Lindsay Mobile System for the Disabled (LIMO) rider trips and charters are not included in the numbers stated.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Wastewater (Sewage)</b>			
<p>12(a). <b>Wastewater Collection:</b> Operating costs for collection of wastewater per kilometer of wastewater main</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Operating Costs</li> <li>Total Kms of Wastewater main</li> </ul>	<p>\$1,719.51</p> <p>\$543,366</p> <p>316</p>	<p>\$1,829.26</p> <p>\$581,705</p> <p>318</p>	<p>In 2005, the Municipality had an inventory of 224 Km of wastewater main (sewer line) and 9,377 connections. Based on the formula defined by the Ministry, the denominator for this <b>efficiency</b> measure is calculated as 224 Km plus 93.77 Km (0.010 Km X 9,377 connections) for a total of 318 Km.</p>
<p>12(b). <b>Wastewater Treatment and Disposal:</b> Operating costs for the treatment, and disposal of wastewater per megalitre</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Operating Costs</li> <li>Total Megalitres Treated</li> </ul>	<p>\$298.58</p> <p>\$1,819,095</p> <p>6,092.5</p>	<p>\$302.26</p> <p>\$1,947,446</p> <p>6,443.0</p>	<p>The result for this <b>efficiency</b> measure is calculated based on the stated operating costs divided by the total megalitres of treated wastewater.</p> <p>Note: 1 Megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres.</p>
<p>12(c). <b>Wastewater Collection, Treatment and Disposal:</b> Operating costs for collection, treatment, and disposal of wastewater per megalitre treated</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Operating Costs</li> <li>Total Megalitres Treated</li> </ul>	<p>\$387.77</p> <p>\$2,362,461</p> <p>6,092.5</p>	<p>\$392.54</p> <p>\$2,529,151</p> <p>6,443.0</p>	<p>The result for this <b>efficiency</b> measure is calculated based on the stated operating costs divided by the total megalitres of treated wastewater.</p>

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>13. W/W Main Backups:</b> Number of backed up wastewater mains in the year per 100 km of sewer line <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of backed up wastewater mains</li> <li>Total kms/100</li> </ul>	4.5208  10 2.21	7.1429  16 2.24	For this <b>effectiveness</b> measure, in 2005, the Municipality recorded a total of 16 backed up wastewater mains on a total 224 kilometers of sewer line.
<b>14. W/W Bypass Treatment:</b> Percentage of wastewater estimated to have bypassed treatment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total bypass in megalitres</li> <li>Total megalitres of wastewater PLUS estimated bypass</li> </ul>	0.084%  5.149 6,097.6	0.016%  1.055 6,444.3	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the estimated volume of untreated or partially treated wastewater (megalitres) that bypassed the system divided by the total megalitres of wastewater, including treated and untreated wastewater.
<b>Storm Water</b>			
<b>15(a). Urban Storm Water Management:</b> Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometers of drainage system <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total calculated km of Urban Drainage System</li> </ul>	\$1,230.10  \$160,344  130	\$1,108.32  \$144,081  130	Based on the best available information, in 2005, the urban drainage system in the Municipality measures 75.1 kilometers with 4,253 connections and 2,543 catch basins. Based on the formula defined by the Ministry, the denominator for this <b>efficiency</b> measure is calculated as 75.1 Km plus 42.5 Km (0.010 Km X 4,253 connections) plus 12.7 Km (0.005 KM X 2,543 catch basins) for a total of 130.3 Km.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Storm Water</b>			
<p>15(b). <b>Rural Storm Water Management:</b> Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometers of drainage system</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total calculated km of Rural Drainage System</li> </ul>	<p>\$44.41</p> <p>\$228,128</p> <p>5,137</p>	<p>\$54.93</p> <p>\$282,180</p> <p>5,137</p>	<p>Based on the best available information, in 2005, the rural drainage system in the Municipality measures 5,137 kilometers primarily along roadways. Operating costs increased in 2005 as a result of a greater demand for drain maintenance and replacement.</p>
<b>Drinking Water</b>			
<p>16(a). <b>Treatment of Drinking Quality Water:</b> Operating costs for the treatment of drinking quality water per megalitre</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total Megalitres treated</li> </ul>	<p>\$425.32</p> <p>\$1,666,732</p> <p>3,918.762</p>	<p>\$236.94</p> <p>\$1,170,620</p> <p>4,940.661</p>	<p>This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating costs for the treatment of drinking quality water divided by the total megalitres treated. Note: 1 Megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres.</p>
<p>16(b). <b>Distribution of Drinking Water:</b> Operating costs for the distribution of drinking quality water per kilometer of water distribution pipe</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total kms of distribution pipe</li> </ul>	<p>\$5,464.73</p> <p>\$2,209,389</p> <p>404</p>	<p>\$3,794.03</p> <p>\$1,551,757</p> <p>409</p>	<p>In 2005, the Municipality had an inventory of 291.0 kilometers of water distribution pipe with 11,354 connections and 987 (Fire) hydrants. Based on the formula defined by the Ministry, the denominator for this <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the total kilometers of distribution pipe (291.0) plus a connection factor (11,354 X 0.010 Km) plus a hydrant factor (987 X 0.005 Km) for an adjusted total of 409.4 Km.</p>

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Drinking Water</b>			
<b>16(c). Treatment and Distribution of Drinking Water:</b> Operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking quality water per megalitre <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total megalitres treated</li> </ul>	\$989.12  \$3,876,121  3,918.762	\$551.01  \$2,722,377  4,940.661	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking quality water divided by the total megalitres treated.
<b>17. Water Main Breaks:</b> Number of breaks in water mains per 100 kilometres of water main pipe in a year <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total breaks per year</li> <li>• Total kms of pipe/100</li> </ul>	6.2500  18 2.88	6.8729  20 2.91	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the number of water main breaks in a year divided by the total kilometers of water distribution pipe per 100 kilometers.
<b>18. Boil Water Advisories:</b> Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Boil Water Numerator</li> <li>• Total Connections</li> </ul>	4.5869  51,126 11,146	5.2227  59,299 11,354	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure in 2005 is based on a summation of: the number of boil water days (890) times the number of connections affected divided by the total connections in the service area (formula) as defined by the Ministry.
<b>Solid Waste Management (Garbage)</b>			
<b>19 (a). Solid Waste Collection:</b> Operating costs for solid waste collection per tonne <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating Costs</li> <li>• Total tonnes received</li> </ul>	\$116.84  \$1,762,699 15,086	\$127.32  \$1,914,233 15,035	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the solid waste collection operating costs divided by the total tonnes collected from all property classes.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Solid Waste Management (Garbage)</b>			
<b>19(b). Solid Waste Disposal:</b> Operating costs for solid waste disposal per tonne <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total tonnes disposed</li> </ul>	\$49.55 \$1,871,168 37,761	\$51.31 \$2,185,648 42,599	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the solid waste disposal operating costs divided by the total tonnes disposed of from all property classes (collected, ICI, and residential self haul).
<b>19(c). Solid Waste Diversion:</b> Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total tonnes diverted</li> </ul>	\$224.84 \$1,939,729 8,627	\$223.20 \$2,078,843 9,314	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the solid waste diversion (recycling) operating costs divided by the total tonnes diverted (recycling collection, leaf/yard, HHW, ICI, metal, tires, propane tanks, and re-usables).
<b>20. Solid Waste Management:</b> Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating Costs</li> <li>Total tonnes disposed/diverted</li> </ul>	\$120.15 \$5,573,596 46,388	\$119.02 \$6,178,724 51,913	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the solid waste management operating costs divided by the total tonnes disposed of, and total tonnes diverted from all property classes..
<b>21(a). Solid Waste Management Facility Compliance:</b> Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment (MOE) compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a solid waste management facility, by site	0	0	As a measure of <b>effectiveness</b> , in 2004 and 2005, the Ministry of Environment did not issue a compliance order for any City of Kawartha Lakes landfill site.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Solid Waste Management (Garbage)</b>			
<b>21(b). Solid Waste Management - Facilities</b> Total number of solid waste management sites owned by the Municipality	42	42	The total number of solid waste management sites owned by the Municipality (42) consists of Operating (5), Closed (12), Inactive (1), and closed prior to 1980 (24).
<b>21(c). Solid Waste Management – Complaints Garbage and Recycling Collection:</b> Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of solid waste and recycled materials per 1,000 households <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of complaints</li> <li>• Total households</li> </ul>	3.299  119 36.062	8.957  323 36.062	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the number of complaints received in a year at the Environmental Services Offices divided by the total number of households/1,000.  More severe weather conditions in 2005 (missed pickups) may be one factor contributing to the call volume increase.
<b>21(d) Diversion of Residential Solid Waste</b> Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total tonnes of residential solid waste diverted</li> <li>• Total tonnes of Res. Solid waste disposed and diverted</li> </ul>	32.4%  8,490.0  26,224.0	32.7%  9,170.0  28,084.0	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the total tonnes of residential solid waste diverted divided by the total tonnes of residential solid waste disposed of and total tonnes diverted.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Parks and Recreation</b>			
<b>22. Open Space:</b> Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (population) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hectares of open space</li> <li>Number of persons divided by 1,000</li> <li>Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons</li> </ul>	3,998  69.18  57.79	4,098  69.18  59.24	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the number of open hectares divided by the population/1000.
<b>23. Trails:</b> Total kilometer of trails per 1,000 persons (population) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total kilometers of trails</li> <li>Number of persons divided by 1,000</li> <li>Total kilometers of trails per 1,000 persons</li> </ul>	85  69.18  1.229	85  69.18  1.229	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the number of kilometers of trails divided by the population/1000.
<b>24. Recreation Facility Space:</b> Square metres of recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (population) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Square metres of recreation facilities</li> <li>Number of persons divided by 1,000</li> <li>Total sq. metres of recreation facility space per 1,000 persons</li> </ul>	49,090  69.18  709.598	49,090 (*)  69.18  709.598	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the number of square metres of recreation facility space divided by the population/1000.  (*) The Municipality has 45,990 square metres of built structures and 3,100 square metres of outdoor recreation facilities and spaces with controlled access.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Parks and Recreation</b>			
<b>25 (a). Parks Operating Costs Per Person:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parks Operating Costs</li> <li>• Number of persons</li> <li>• Total Operating cost per person</li> </ul>	\$1,442,301 69,180 \$20.85	\$1,073,122 69,180 \$15.51	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating cost for parks divided by the total population (based on the Statistics Canada 2001 Census).
<b>25 (b). Recreation Program Operating Costs Per Person:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recreation Program Operating Costs</li> <li>• Number of persons</li> <li>• Total Operating cost per person</li> </ul>	\$1,086,676 69,180 \$15.71	\$762,510 69,180 \$11.02	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating cost for recreation programs divided by the total population.
<b>25 (c). Recreation Facility Operating Costs Per Person:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recreation Facility Operating Costs</li> <li>• Number of persons</li> <li>• Total Operating cost per person</li> </ul>	\$3,467,547 69,180 \$50.12	\$3,012,852 69,180 \$43.55	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating cost for recreation facilities divided by the total population.
<b>25 (d). Total Operating Cost for Parks, Recreation Programs and Facilities Per Person:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Total Parks/Rec and Facility Ops Costs</li> <li>• Number of persons</li> <li>• Total Operating cost per person</li> </ul>	\$5,996,524 69,180 \$86.68	\$4,848,484 69,180 \$70.09	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the total operating cost for parks, recreation and facilities divided by the total population.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Parks and Recreation</b>			
<b>26. Participant Hours for Recreation Programs per 1,000 persons (population):</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Participant Hours</li> <li>Number of persons/1,000</li> <li>Total Participant hours per 1,000 persons</li> </ul>	1,244,860  69.18  17,994.5	1,247,340  69.18  18,030.4	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the total participant hours for recreation programs divided by the total population/1,000.
<b>Library Services</b>			
<b>27 (a). Library Operating Costs Per Person:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Library Operating Costs</li> <li>Number of persons</li> <li>Total Operating cost per person</li> </ul>	\$1,289,877 69,180 \$18.65	\$1,394,789 69,180 \$20.16	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating cost for libraries (including allocation for program support) divided by the total population (Statistics Canada 2001 Census).
<b>27 (b). Library Operating Costs Per Use</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Library Operating Costs</li> <li>Number of users</li> <li>Total Operating cost per use</li> </ul>	\$1,289,877 955,470 \$1.35	\$1,394,789 871,708 \$1.60	This <b>efficiency</b> measure is based on the operating cost for libraries (including allocation for program support) divided by the total number of users.
<b>27 (c). Library Use Per Person:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Total Number of Uses</li> <li>Number of Persons</li> <li>Total Library Uses per Person</li> </ul>	955,470 69,180 13.811	871,708 69,180 12.601	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the total number of uses divided by the total population (Statistics Canada 2001 Census).

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Library Services</b>			
<b>27 (d). Type of Uses – Electronic Library</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of Electronic Library Uses</li> <li>Total Library Uses</li> <li>Percentage</li> </ul>	140,650  955,470 15%	131,100  871,708 15%	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the total number of electronic Library uses divided by the total Library uses.
<b>27 (e). Type of Uses – Non-Electronic Library</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of Non-Electronic Library Uses</li> <li>Total Library Uses</li> <li>Percentage</li> </ul>	814,820  955,470 85%	740,608  871,708 85%	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the total number of non-electronic Library uses divided by the total Library uses.
<b>Land Use Planning</b>			
<b>28. Location of New Development:</b> Percentage of new development with final approval which is located within settlement areas <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approved Applications in settlement areas</li> <li>Total Development Applications</li> </ul>	73.2%  202 276	91.7%  319 348	This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is based on the number of new lots, blocks, and/or units with final development application approval which are located within the settlement areas divided by the total number of new lots, blocks, and/or units with development application approval within the entire Municipality.

Performance Measure	2004 Result	2005 Result	Notes and Explanations
<b>Land Use Planning</b>			
<p>29 (a). <b>Agricultural Land Preservation in reporting year:</b>            Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated to other uses during the reporting year</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of hectares designated for agricultural purposes at January 1,</li> <li>Number of hectares of land remaining for agricultural purposes at December 31</li> <li>Number of hectares of land which was re-designated for other use during the reporting year</li> </ul>	<p>100.00%</p> <p>132,883</p> <p>132,883</p> <p>0</p>	<p>100.00%</p> <p>132,883</p> <p>132,882</p> <p>1</p>	<p>This <b>effectiveness</b> measure is intended to show the number of hectares of land that remain designated for agricultural purposes at the beginning and end of year reporting year.</p> <p>The one hectare re-designated was for a private school</p>
<p>29 (b). <b>Preservation of Agricultural Land Relative to Base Year:</b>            Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of hectares not re-designated to other uses</li> <li>Number of hectares of land designated for agricultural purposes in base year</li> </ul>	<p>99.9%</p> <p>132,883</p> <p>132,953</p>	<p>99.9%</p> <p>132,882</p> <p>132,953</p>	<p>Based on 132,953 hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes as of January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2001 (base year for the Municipality), this <b>effectiveness</b> measure is intended to show the number of hectares of land that were not re-designated from agricultural purposes since the base year.</p>